UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX	BOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILE DOC #: DATE FILED: 3-30-202
JOSH WEBBER, et al.,	THE PARTY CONTROL CONT
Plaintiffs, -against-	19 Civ. 0610 (RWL)
DAMON DASH, et al.,	
Defendants.	
X	
JURY VERDICT FO	RM_
Please answer these questions based on the e	vidence and the Court's instructions
on the law. All jurors must agree on the answer to	each guestion. The term "Movie"
refers to the movie film known as Dear Frank or The	
Teless to the movie him known as bear Frank of The	LIST.
COPYRIGHT INFRINGEME	NT CLAIM
Muddy Water Pictures is presumed to be the so Dash rebutted that presumption and proven be that the Movie was a joint work? Muddy Water Pictures is presumed to be the so Dash rebutted that presumption and proven be that the Movie was a joint work?	
Yes No	
2. Muddy Water Pictures is presumed to be the Poppington LLC rebutted that presumption and evidence that the Movie was a joint work?	ne sole owner of the Movie. Has d proven by a preponderance of the
Yes No	
IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO EITHER QUESTION 10. IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" TO BOTH QUESTIC QUESTION 3.	

3.	Muddy Water Pictures is presumed to be the sole owner of the Movie. Has Damon Dash rebutted that presumption and proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Damon Dash is the dominant author of the Movie?
	Yes No
4.	Muddy Water Pictures is presumed to be the sole owner of the Movie. Has Poppington LLC rebutted that presumption and proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Poppington LLC is the dominant author of the Movie?
	Yes No
10. IF	U ANSWERED "YES" TO EITHER QUESTION 3 OR 4, THEN GO TO QUESTION FYOU ANSWERED "NO" TO BOTH QUESTION 3 AND 4, THEN PROCEED TO TION 5.
5.	Has Muddy Water Pictures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Damon Dash infringed Muddy Water Pictures' copyright in the Movie?
	Yes No
	U ANSWERED "YES," THEN PROCEED TO QUESTION 6. IF YOU ANSWERED THEN GO TO QUESTION 7.
6.	Has Muddy Water Pictures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Damon Dash infringed willfully?
	Yes No
7.	Has Muddy Water Pictures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Poppington LLC infringed Muddy Water Pictures' copyright in the Movie?
	Yes No
	U ANSWERED "YES" THEN PROCEED TO QUESTION 8. IF YOU ANSWERED THEN GO TO QUESTION 9.
8.	Has Muddy Water Pictures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Poppington LLC infringed willfully?
	Yes No

QUES	VER THIS QUESTION ONLY IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO EITHER STION 5 OR 7, OR BOTH. IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" TO BOTH STIONS 5 AND 7 THEN GO TO QUESTION 10.
	amount of damages do you find that Muddy Water Pictures should receive ringement of its copyright in the Movie?
	<u>\$ 30,000</u>
	DEFAMATION CLAIMS
	Josh Webber proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he was ned by Damon Dash?
	Yes No
	Josh Webber proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he was ned by Poppington LLC?
	Yes No
QUES	VER THIS QUESTION ONLY IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO EITHER STION 10 OR 11. IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" TO BOTH QUESTIONS 10 11, THEN GO TO QUESTION 13.
a.	What amount of compensatory damages do you find that Josh Webber should recover for defamation?
	<u>\$ 400,000</u>
b.	What amount, if any, do you find that Josh Webber should receive as punitive damages?
	\$ 250,000
	fluddy Water Pictures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it was ned by Damon Dash?
	Yes No
	QUES QUES What for inf Has a defant ANSV QUES AND a. b.

Yes _____ No _____

14.

Has Muddy Water Pictures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it was defamed by Poppington LLC?

15.	ANSWER THIS QUESTION ONLY IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO EITHER
	QUESTION 13 OR 14. IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" TO BOTH QUESTIONS 13
	AND 14, THEN GO TO THE END AND SIGN THE VERDICT FORM.

a.	What amount of compensatory damages do you find that Muddy Wat	er
	Pictures should recover for defamation?	

\$_	0			

b. What amount, if any, do you find that Muddy Water Pictures should receive as punitive damages?

\$ 125,000

Foreperson, please sign and date this Jury Verdict Form and advise the Court by note that you have reached a verdict and are ready to return to the courtroom to announce it.

Dated:

New York, New York
-March 29, 2022

March 30, 2022

FOREPERSON

Harnah Coleman